ETHICOIN

ETHIC+

Gold-indexed. Fixed supply. No mint function.

White Paper · Final Edition · 4 September 2026

RichX Chain · Chain ID 20260903 · QBFT Proof of Authority

0x7023Bb290be415b05491bBBaa45f4D15e4407E46

ethicoin.org ethicoin.gold richxscan.com

ETHIC+ is a high-risk digital asset. This document is not financial advice.

Gold-indexed means priced by reference to gold. It does not mean redeemable for gold from this contract.

Contents

Contents 2
1. Summary 4
What the contract does 4
What this document does not claim 4
2. What changed, and why 5
2.1 The transfer fee is gone 5
2.2 RichX Chain is now the home of the token 5
3. Migration from the legacy deployment 6
3.1 How it works 6
3.2 Why this is a migration and not a bridge 6
3.3 Aggregate supply 6
3.4 The retired notary deployment 6
4. The environmental commitment 7
4.1 Why this exists 7
4.2 Why an allocation rather than a fee 7
4.3 What is and is not guaranteed 7
5. Price, and the gold index 8
5.1 Two things set the price 8
5.2 The gold index rises as supply is burned 8
5.3 Calculating the index 8
5.4 Where burning comes from 9
5.5 What burning does not do 9
5.6 What the index does not give 9
5.7 Publishing the index on chain 9
6. The token 11
6.1 No mint, verified at the interface 11
6.2 Burning 11
6.3 Transfer limit 11
6.4 Gasless approval 12
7. Redemption 13
7.1 What the contract does 13
7.2 What the contract does not do 13
7.3 The arrangement 13
7.4 Physical delivery for larger buyers 13
7.5 The deflationary consequence 13
8. Two keys that check each other 14
8.1 What a stolen owner key can and cannot do 14

8.2 What a stolen guardian key can do 14
8.3 Why the pause has no waiting period 14
8.4 Why freezing waits 15
8.5 Recovery 15
9. Key custody 16
10. Verification 17
10.1 Connect to the network 17
10.2 Read the contract 17
10.3 The three figures that matter most 17
10.4 Verify the deployed code 17
11. Design decisions and their costs 18
12. Risk 19
12.1 Gold indexing is not gold ownership 19
12.2 Market risk 19
12.3 No yield 19
12.4 Redemption risk 19
12.5 Administrative risk 19
12.6 Network risk 19
12.7 Migration risk 19
12.8 Execution risk on the environmental commitment 19
12.9 Irreversibility 19
12.10 Regulatory risk 20
13. Closing 21

If the table above is empty, open the document in Word and press F9 to build it.

1. Summary

Ethicoin (ETHIC+) is a gold-indexed token with a fixed supply of one trillion units. It was deployed to RichX Chain on 4 September 2026 and is live at the address on the cover of this document.

This is the final edition of the paper. It supersedes every earlier version, and it differs from them in ways a reader who has seen an earlier draft needs to know about. Those differences are set out in section 2.

What the contract does

- There is no mint function anywhere in the contract. Supply cannot increase — not by the operator, not by the owner, not by any call. This was verified against the compiled interface, not merely asserted from the source.
- There is no transfer fee. Send one thousand ETHIC+ and the recipient receives one thousand. It behaves as a plain RXC-20 token with every wallet, router and exchange.
- Any holder can burn their own tokens permanently, lowering total supply. A public counter records the cumulative amount ever destroyed, and the gold reference rises as circulating supply falls — see section 5.
- Administrative control is split between two keys with deliberately unequal powers, held on separate devices under separate recovery phrases. Neither can create tokens, and neither can take an ordinary holder’s balance.
- There is no self-destruct function. This was confirmed by disassembling the deployed bytecode, not by reading the source.

What this document does not claim

ETHIC+ is gold-indexed, which means its reference price derives from the gold price — a pricing reference, not a claim on the metal itself. No holder has a claim on physical metal arising from this contract, and this paper never suggests otherwise. Section 5 sets out exactly what the index does and does not mean.

There is no market price in this paper because ETHIC+ is not listed on any exchange. There is no staking programme and no yield of any kind is offered or implied.

> *Where a claim in this paper cannot be checked against the contract or the chain, it should not be believed. Verify everything.*

2. What changed, and why

Earlier drafts of this paper described a different token. Anyone holding an earlier version should read this section, because two substantial things are different.

2.1 The transfer fee is gone

Previous editions described a one percent fee taken from every transfer and routed automatically to an environmental wallet. That mechanism is not present in the deployed contract and no fee of any kind is charged.

The reasoning is practical. A fee-on-transfer token is incompatible with standard exchange routers and requires special handling from every integrator, which in practice suppressed adoption rather than funding anything. Over the life of the earlier deployment, the fee collected a negligible amount because volume never materialised.

The environmental commitment did not shrink; it changed form and grew. It is now a direct allocation of ten percent of total supply — one hundred billion ETHIC+ — rather than a levy dependent on trading volume that may never occur. Section 4 covers this.

2.2 RichX Chain is now the home of the token

ETHIC+ was originally issued on BNB Smart Chain. It is now issued on RichX Chain, an independent proof-of-authority network operated as a single system alongside its own explorer and public endpoint.

The reason is control. A token on a network operated by others depends on that network’s fee market, its uptime, its policies and its routers, and has no recourse when any of those change. Issuing on infrastructure operated directly removes that dependency.

The original deployment becomes legacy. Holders of the earlier token migrate one for one; section 3 sets out how.

3. Migration from the legacy deployment

Approximately sixty thousand ETHIC+ were sold to customers on the original BNB Smart Chain deployment. Every one of those tokens is honoured.

3.1 How it works

- A holder of legacy ETHIC+ sends their tokens to a designated migration address, permanently removing them from circulation on the origin chain.
- The equivalent amount is issued to them from existing supply on RichX Chain, one for one. No new tokens are created — the contract cannot create any.
- Both transactions are public. The burn is verifiable on the origin chain's explorer and the issuance on RichX Chain's.

Migration is one directional. Tokens retired on the origin chain do not return.

3.2 Why this is a migration and not a bridge

A bridge holds tokens locked on one chain and issues a representation on another, with both sides live simultaneously. That requires an ongoing reserve, continuous accounting across two networks, and a standing operational commitment that can quietly fail.

This is simpler and safer. The legacy deployment is being retired, not maintained. There is no reserve to hold, no two-chain balance to reconcile, and no arrangement that could break years later without anyone noticing.

3.3 Aggregate supply

> **Because the legacy deployment is being retired rather than run in parallel, project-held balances on the origin chain are being permanently removed from circulation, and customer holdings are retired as they migrate. The live supply of ETHIC+ is the one trillion on RichX Chain. Both figures are public on their respective explorers and should be checked rather than assumed.**

3.4 The retired notary deployment

A separate ETHIC+ contract exists on an earlier internal chain used for content timestamping. That deployment is retired, holds no relationship to the live token, and no balance on it carries any value or claim. It is named here only so that nobody encounters it and mistakes it for the live contract.

4. The environmental commitment

Ten percent of total supply — one hundred billion ETHIC+ — is allocated to environmental remediation of rivers damaged by unlicensed gold mining in Ghana.

4.1 Why this exists

Galamsey — unlicensed small-scale gold mining — has caused severe and documented damage to Ghana's river systems. The Pra, the Ankobra and the Birim carry mercury and sediment loads from operations upstream. Communities beside the goldfields absorb the environmental cost of the metal while receiving almost none of its value.

Gold is the reference asset for ETHIC+. Indexing a token to gold while ignoring what extracting gold does to the rivers of the countries that hold it would be incoherent. The commitment is not an appendage to the token; it is the reason the token carries this name.

4.2 Why an allocation rather than a fee

A one percent transfer fee only ever collects what trading volume delivers. To accumulate one hundred billion ETHIC+, a fee would have required ten trillion in cumulative transfer volume — ten times the entire supply changing hands. That was never a realistic prospect.

A direct allocation is roughly a hundred times larger, available immediately rather than accruing over years, and free of the exchange incompatibility that a transfer fee imposes.

> *An allocation is as verifiable as a fee. Anyone can read the remediation wallet's balance, at any time, forever.*

4.3 What is and is not guaranteed

What can be verified on chain is that the allocation exists and where it sits. What cannot be enforced by any contract is what happens after that: moving funds from a wallet to a riverbank is human work performed by people and organisations.

This paper states that boundary rather than blurring it. The on-chain fact is the allocation. Everything beyond it is operational conduct, and should be judged on published evidence rather than on this document.

5. Price, and the gold index

> **ETHIC+ is gold-indexed. The index is a pricing reference derived from the gold price, not a claim on the metal itself. This contract holds no metal, custodies no metal, and confers no claim on metal. No function in the contract can deliver gold to anyone.**

5.1 Two things set the price

The price of ETHIC+ is made of two separate parts. Confusing them is the most common way to misread this token, so they are set out first, before any of the mechanics.

	What it is	What moves it
Gold index	A reference figure, calculated from the gold price and the circulating supply	The gold price, and how many tokens have been burned
Market demand	Whatever premium or discount buyers assign on top of the index	Interest in the token — nothing else

The index is arithmetic. Anyone can calculate it, and it does not require the market's agreement or the project's cooperation. Demand is not arithmetic and cannot be calculated by anyone.

So the price may rise if the gold price rises, if supply is burned, or if buyers want the token. It may fall if the gold price falls, or if buyers lose interest. Demand can push the price above the index, and it can equally push it below — the index is a reference, never a floor.

> *The gold index is what the token refers to. The market decides what it pays. Those are different numbers and this paper never treats them as one.*

5.2 The gold index rises as supply is burned

An index fixed forever at a single number says nothing about what happens inside the token. Earlier editions used such a number. This edition replaces it with a formula, because burning is the only thing that structurally changes about ETHIC+ over time, and a reference that ignores burning ignores that.

A fixed quantity of gold reference is divided across whatever circulating supply remains:

```
grams per token  =  90,000,000,000  /  circulating supply

circulating supply  =  totalSupply()  -  remediation wallet balance
```

The numerator is the baseline circulating supply of 900 billion multiplied by 0.1 grams. It never changes. As supply falls through burning, the reference per remaining token rises — mechanically, with no decision by anyone.

Circulating supply excludes the 100 billion ETHIC+ permanently allocated to river remediation, which was never part of the tradeable float. Both figures are public view calls, so the exclusion requires no trust: if tokens ever leave the remediation wallet, circulating supply rises and the reference falls. The formula runs in both directions and cannot be applied selectively.

5.3 Calculating the index

```
indexed value  =  grams per token  /  31.1034768  x  XAU/USD
```

Worked example, at the gold spot bid of $4,473.00 per troy ounce on 4 September 2026, which is $143.81 per gram. These figures illustrate the arithmetic on a stated date. None is a valuation, a projection or a target, and none accounts for market demand.

Circulating burned	Index per token	Indexed value
0% — 900B remaining	0.1000 g	$14.38
5% — 855B remaining	0.1053 g	$15.14
10% — 810B remaining	0.1111 g	$15.98
20% — 720B remaining	0.1250 g	$17.98
50% — 450B remaining	0.2000 g	$28.76

> **Read the scale honestly. Burning ten percent of circulating supply moves the index by roughly eleven percent — and ten percent of circulating supply is 90 billion tokens. At 0.1 grams each that is a quantity of gold reference far beyond what any redemption programme will retire in the foreseeable future. The upper rows of this table are arithmetic, not a forecast, and no rate of burning is promised or implied.**

5.4 Where burning comes from

The formula would be decorative if nothing burned. Three mechanisms retire supply, all described elsewhere in this paper rather than invented for this section.

- Gold redemption. Every holder who redeems for index value, and every larger buyer who takes physical delivery under section 7.4, burns their tokens to do it. A holder who exits therefore raises the index for everyone who stays.
- Voluntary burning. Any holder may destroy their own tokens at any time.
- Migration retirement. Tokens retired from the legacy deployment are permanently removed rather than reissued.

No burn is performed by the project to move the index, and no schedule or rate of burning is promised.

5.5 What burning does not do

> *Burning does not create gold. It divides the same reference across fewer tokens.*

The numerator is fixed. Destroying supply adds no metal, revenue or backing to anything — it changes how a constant is distributed. A holder whose tokens survive a burn holds a larger share of an unchanged reference. That is worth stating plainly rather than dressing up as value creation.

5.6 What the index does not give

- It is not a floor. Nothing obliges the market to trade at or near it, at any level of burning.
- It is not a guarantee of value, and no capital protection of any kind is implied.
- It is not a claim on metal. There is no vault, no allocated bar, and no redemption right created by holding the token.
- It is not a promise that supply will fall. If nothing is burned, the index stays at 0.1 grams indefinitely.

5.7 Publishing the index on chain

A separate oracle contract is planned for RichX Chain to publish the gold price and the resulting index with a full history of updates. Its purpose is auditability of redemption: when a holder burns ETHIC+ and

an exchange settles against that burn, both parties can afterwards verify the index in force at the burn block.

That oracle is deliberately separate from the token. ETHIC+ does not read it and holds no reference to it, so a faulty or stale oracle can never affect a balance. It is planned rather than deployed, and this paper will be updated when it is live. Until then the index remains computable by hand from two public view calls and a published gold price.

> *Indexed to gold is a statement about how a reference price is calculated. It is not a statement about what you own, and it is not a statement about what the market will pay.*

6. The token

Name	Ethicoin
Symbol	ETHIC+
Decimals	9
Total supply	1,000,000,000,000 — one trillion
Mint function	None — does not exist in the contract
Transfer fee	None
Supply direction	Can only decrease, through burning
Gold reference	From 0.1 g per token, rising as circulating supply is burned — a pricing index, not a metal claim
Standard	RXC-20 with EIP-2612 permit
Network	RichX Chain, chain ID 20260903
Contract	`0x7023Bb290be415b05491bBBaa45f4D15e4407E46`
Deployed	4 September 2026
Compiler	solc 0.8.20, optimiser enabled, 200 runs, London EVM
Deployed size	8,855 bytes
Dependencies	None — no imported libraries
Governing law	Republic of Seychelles

6.1 No mint, verified at the interface

The absence of any issuance function was confirmed by compiling the contract and searching the resulting interface for any function capable of increasing a balance or the total supply. There is none. This is a stronger check than reading the source, because it tests what was actually compiled and deployed.

Total supply is a mutable variable purely so that burning can reduce it. Since no code path increases it, the figure can only ever fall.

6.2 Burning

Any holder may destroy their own tokens. Two entry points exist: a standard single-argument burn for wallets and tooling, and a second form that records a free-text reference on chain so a burn can be tied to an external settlement record.

```
burn(amount)                    standard
burnWithReason(amount, reason)  records a reference on chain
```

No holder can burn another holder’s balance, and no administrative function can burn on a holder’s behalf. A public counter, totalBurned, records the cumulative amount ever destroyed.

6.3 Transfer limit

A per-transaction ceiling bounds how much can move in a single transfer, so that no single signature can drain a treasury. The limit is adjustable, but only within a band fixed in the compiled code.

Current limit	1,000,000,000 ETHIC+ — 0.1% of supply
Lowest settable	100,000,000 — cannot be set to zero, so transfers cannot be frozen this way
Highest settable	10,000,000,000 — cannot be set to unlimited, so the guard cannot be disabled

Named addresses — a treasury, an exchange pair, a migration address — may be exempted so that legitimate large operations remain possible. Every exemption emits a public event, and an exemption that appears without explanation is a warning sign visible to anyone.

6.4 Gasless approval

The contract implements EIP-2612, so a holder with no gas coin can sign an approval off chain for a relayer to submit. For a token intended to reach people who do not already hold the network’s native coin, this is a precondition rather than a convenience.

7. Redemption

Burning is how a holder exits into gold index value. The mechanism has an on-chain half and an off-chain half, and confusing the two would be the easiest way to mislead a reader.

7.1 What the contract does

The contract destroys tokens on request, permanently, and records the event with an optional reference string. That record is public, immutable and independently verifiable. It proves that a specific address destroyed a specific quantity at a specific block.

7.2 What the contract does not do

> **The contract does not settle anything. It cannot deliver gold, currency or any other asset. Settlement against a burn is a commercial arrangement with an exchange partner, governed by that partner's terms, jurisdiction and solvency — not by this code.**

7.3 The arrangement

Settlement is intended to operate through a licensed exchange partner. The sequence is registration and identity verification with that venue, holding the qualifying minimum, submitting tokens for on-chain burn, and settlement of gold index value against the confirmed burn.

Every step after the burn depends on the exchange rather than on the contract. A holder relying on redemption is taking counterparty risk on that venue and should assess it as they would any other financial counterparty. This paper makes no representation about the exchange's terms, which may change.

7.4 Physical delivery for larger buyers

> Larger buyers purchasing significant quantities of ETHIC+ through AIA Exchange may redeem it for real, physical gold rather than settlement in currency. The mechanism is the same burn described throughout this section: the holder burns their ETHIC+ on chain, submits proof of that burn to AIA Exchange, and gold is prepared and delivered to them once the burn is confirmed. This physical-delivery option is offered to qualifying larger purchasers through AIA Exchange as a commercial arrangement — it is not a right created by the contract itself, which holds no metal and can deliver none. See Section 5 for what gold indexing does and does not mean.

7.5 The deflationary consequence

Every redemption is a burn, and every burn permanently reduces supply. Because no mint function exists, destroyed supply can never be replaced. Redemption volume is therefore visible in the totalBurned counter, and supply moves in one direction only.

8. Two keys that check each other

The usual arrangement gives one key every power. This contract splits control across two keys with deliberately asymmetric authority, held on separate devices under separate recovery phrases, so that no single compromised key can destroy the token.

Capability	Owner	Guardian
Halt all transfers	Yes	Yes
Resume transfers	Yes	Yes
Propose a freeze	Yes	Yes
Cancel a proposed freeze	Yes	No
Unfreeze a wallet	Yes	No
Recover from a frozen wallet	Yes	No
Change transfer limit	Yes	No
Change exemptions	Yes	No
Propose ownership transfer	Yes	No
Cancel ownership transfer	Yes	Yes
Create new tokens	No	No
Introduce a transfer fee	No	No
Move any holder’s funds	No	No

The last three rows are the ones worth dwelling on. Neither key can create tokens, because no mint function exists. Neither can introduce a fee, because no fee code was compiled. Neither can take an ordinary holder’s balance.

8.1 What a stolen owner key can and cannot do

Assume an attacker obtains the owner key.

- They cannot create tokens. There is no function to call.
- They cannot introduce a fee or alter the supply.
- They can propose taking ownership — which waits 48 hours, is publicly visible throughout, must be accepted by the receiving wallet, and can be cancelled by the guardian.
- They can halt transfers. The guardian resumes them.
- They cannot take tokens from any wallet not already frozen, and freezing is itself timelocked and cancellable by the owner during the window.

8.2 What a stolen guardian key can do

Considerably less. Halt the token — disruptive, instantly reversible, costing only time. Propose a freeze, which the owner cancels within the ten-minute window. Cancel a pending ownership transfer, which is obstruction rather than theft. It cannot mint, seize, unfreeze, change any setting or take ownership.

8.3 Why the pause has no waiting period

Every other dangerous action waits. Pausing does not, and the asymmetry is deliberate. A pause applied wrongly costs nothing and is undone in one transaction. A drain delayed by a timelock is a drain that completes. When an attack is under way, the fastest available response should also be the safest, and here it is.

8.4 Why freezing waits

Freezing is the one defensive power that can harm an innocent holder. A ten-minute delay before it takes effect, during which the owner alone may cancel, prevents a compromised guardian key from freezing a legitimate wallet. Short enough to remain useful; long enough to be caught.

8.5 Recovery

Funds can be moved out of a wallet only after it has been frozen through the full timelocked process, and only by the owner. Recovery is not subject to the transfer limit: the wallet is frozen and nothing is moving, so rate-limiting recovery would assist only an attacker. Every recovery permanently records a reason string on chain.

This power exists and is not presented as anything else. A contract that can recover stolen funds is a contract that can take funds. The protection is not that the power is absent, but that it requires a frozen wallet, an elapsed timelock, the owner key, and a permanent public record naming the reason.

9. Key custody

The contract is the easier half of the problem. Tokens are rarely lost to contract bugs; they are lost to keys. The following is the operating discipline behind ETHIC+, stated so that it can be checked against behaviour.

Owner key	Held on a device separate from every other key in the system, under its own recovery phrase.
Guardian key	A different recovery phrase on a different device. Neither key can perform the other's critical actions.
Supply custody	The bulk of supply is held under a recovery phrase distinct from the owner key, so that compromising contract control does not also compromise the tokens.
Recovery phrases	Written on paper, stored away from any computer. Never photographed, never re-entered into a machine, never placed in a synchronising password manager.
Monitoring	Contract events are watched. Any ownership proposal, pause or freeze should trigger an immediate alert.

That last line is what makes the safeguards real. A 48-hour veto window protects nobody unless somebody learns within 48 hours that there is something to veto.

> *No one operating this project will ever ask for your recovery phrase. No website ever needs it. A request for one is, without exception, an attack.*

10. Verification

Nothing in this paper should be taken on trust. Every claim resolves to something readable on chain.

10.1 Connect to the network

Network name	RichX Chain
RPC URL	`https://rpc.richxchain.com`
Chain ID	`20260903`
Currency symbol	RICHX
ETHIC+ contract	`0x7023Bb290be415b05491bBBaa45f4D15e4407E46`

ETHIC+ is a contract token, so a wallet must be told the contract address before it will display a balance.

10.2 Read the contract

```
totalSupply()             what exists now, after burns
totalBurned()             cumulative amount ever destroyed
balanceOf(address)        any holder, including the remediation wallet
owner() / guardian()      who holds each role
paused()                  whether transfers are running
pendingOwner()            non-zero if a handover is in progress
maxTxAmount()             current per-transaction limit
isExemptFromTxLimit(a)    whether an address may exceed it
```

These are view functions. They cost nothing, require no wallet, and can be called from any Ethereum library or a single request to the public endpoint.

10.3 The three figures that matter most

- balanceOf of the remediation wallet — what the environmental commitment actually holds.
- totalBurned — how much supply has been permanently destroyed.
- pendingOwner — non-zero means a change of control is in progress and the objection window is open.

10.4 Verify the deployed code

The deployed bytecode can be retrieved with eth_getCode and compared against a local build of the published source. It should be 8,855 bytes when compiled with solc 0.8.20, optimiser enabled at 200 runs, targeting the London EVM.

The London target matters. RichX Chain is a London-EVM network, and a build targeting a later fork would contain opcodes the chain does not recognise.

Project `https://ethicoin.org`
Public RPC `https://rpc.richxchain.com`
Explorer `https://richxscan.com`

11. Design decisions and their costs

Every choice has a price. Stating the prices is more useful than listing only the benefits.

No mint function at all

Buys absolute assurance that supply cannot be inflated. Costs every form of flexibility — no future issuance for liquidity, incentives or growth is possible without deploying a different token. Chosen deliberately: a gold-indexed asset whose supply can expand is a contradiction.

No transfer fee

Buys compatibility with every router, exchange and integration without special handling, and a transfer roughly a third cheaper in gas. Costs the mechanical enforcement a fee provided — the environmental commitment is now an allocation, verifiable by reading a balance rather than by reading the transfer function.

An allocation rather than a levy

Buys roughly a hundred times more funding, available immediately. Costs the property that the commitment enforces itself on every transaction; it now depends on the allocation being made and held, which is publicly checkable but not self-executing.

Freeze and recovery powers

Buys the ability to respond to theft and to comply with a lawful order. Costs pure immutability: this is not a contract in which administrative intervention is impossible. The intervention is bounded, delayed, cancellable and permanently logged, but it exists.

Issuing on an independently operated chain

Buys control of the fee market, the routers, the explorer and the endpoint, with no third party able to change the terms. Costs the liquidity and tooling of a large established network, and places the burden of running reliable infrastructure on the project itself.

Retiring the legacy deployment rather than bridging

Buys simplicity: one live supply, no two-chain reconciliation, no standing reserve commitment. Costs the convenience of holders who would have preferred both versions to remain tradable.

12. Risk

This section is not boilerplate. Read it.

12.1 Gold indexing is not gold ownership

ETHIC+ is indexed to gold as a pricing reference only. No metal is held, custodied or allocated against the token by this contract, and holding ETHIC+ creates no claim on any physical asset. The indexed value is a reference, not a floor and not a guarantee, and the market may price the token far below it. The reference rising as supply is burned does not create value — it divides an unchanged constant across fewer tokens, and no rate or amount of burning is promised.

12.2 Market risk

ETHIC+ is a high-risk digital asset. Its value may fall as well as rise and you may lose the entire value of what you commit. There is no guarantee that a liquid market will exist or continue to exist.

12.3 No yield

There is no staking programme, no reward scheme and no yield associated with ETHIC+. Nothing in this paper promises a return. Any site, message or individual offering ETHIC+ staking returns is not connected to this project and should be treated as an attempt to defraud you.

12.4 Redemption risk

Redemption depends on a third-party exchange, not on the contract. It is subject to that venue’s terms, minimums, identity requirements, jurisdiction and solvency, any of which may change or fail. Burning tokens is irreversible whether or not settlement follows.

12.5 Administrative risk

The contract has an owner with real powers: freezing wallets after a timelock, recovering from frozen wallets, and adjusting the transfer limit and exemptions. Those powers are bounded and publicly logged, but they are powers. Holders should monitor the contract’s state rather than assume it.

12.6 Network risk

RichX Chain is a proof-of-authority network currently operating a small validator set. If block production stops, ETHIC+ transfers stop with it. This is a structural property of the network rather than of the token, and it is disclosed in the network’s own documentation.

12.7 Migration risk

Migration from the legacy deployment is an operational process, not an automatic one. Holders must follow the published procedure, and tokens sent to an incorrect address during migration cannot be recovered.

12.8 Execution risk on the environmental commitment

What is verifiable on chain is the allocation and where it sits. Whether funds are subsequently deployed to river remediation is human work and should be judged on published evidence.

12.9 Irreversibility

Blockchain transactions cannot be reversed. Tokens sent to a wrong address are gone, and no function in this contract can retrieve them unless the receiving wallet is frozen through the full public process. Verify every address before signing.

12.10 Regulatory risk

The regulatory treatment of digital assets differs by jurisdiction and continues to change. Nothing here is legal advice and no representation is made about how ETHIC+ is treated under the law that applies to you.

Nothing in this document is financial, investment, tax or legal advice, and nothing in it is an offer or solicitation to buy or sell any asset. Conduct your own research, take your own professional advice, and commit only what you can afford to lose entirely.

13. Closing

The technical content of this paper reduces to five sentences.

- Supply cannot increase, because no function exists that could increase it.
- Transfers carry no fee, so ETHIC+ works with every router and exchange without special handling.
- Neither administrative key can create tokens, introduce a fee, or take an ordinary holder’s balance.
- Gold is a pricing reference that rises as circulating supply is burned, not a claim on metal, and this paper says so in every section where it could be misread.
- Every one of those statements is checkable in a few minutes by anyone with a browser.

None of it depends on trusting the people behind it, which is the point. A promise never to inflate supply is worth nothing; the absence of a mint function is worth something. A promise to fund remediation is worth nothing; a wallet balance anyone can read is worth something.

> *Structure over intentions. Verify rather than trust.*

The rivers do not benefit from a document. Readers are encouraged to check the remediation wallet themselves, and to weigh what they find over what they have been told.

Ethicoin (ETHIC+) · RichX Chain, chain ID 20260903

0x7023Bb290be415b05491bBBaa45f4D15e4407E46

ethicoin.org · office@ethicoin.org

White Paper, Final Edition · 4 September 2026

Governed under the laws of the Republic of Seychelles.